Solubility of I-653, Sevoflurane, Isoflurane, and Halothane in Plastics and Rubber Composing a Conventional Anesthetic Circuit

Alexander G. Targ, MD, Nobuhiko Yasuda, MD, and Edmond I. Eger II, MD

TARG AG, YASUDA N, EGER EI, II. Solubility of I-653. Sevoflurane, isoflurane and halothane in plastics and rubber composing a conventional anesthetic circuit. Anesth Analg 198;69:218–25.

This study defines some characteristics of a standard anesthetic circuit that may impede anesthetic induction and recovery with I-653, sevoflurane, isoflurane, and halothane. Partition coefficients for anesthetic circuit components (masks, bellows, bags, airways, and circuit tubes) consistently ranked halothane > isoflurane > sevoflurane > I-653, suggesting a reverse order of washin and washout rates for an anesthetic circuit constructed from similar components. Consistent with this prediction, the concen-

trations of I-653 increased and decreased more rapidly than those of the other agents at any flow rate during washin (0.5, 1, or 2 L/min gas inflow rates) or washout (1, 3, or 5 L/min) in a conventional anesthetic circuit. The rates of change in I-653 concentration closely approximated the maximal possible theoretical rates.

Our results suggest that absorption of I-653 by circuit components or soda lime should not hinder induction of or recovery from anesthesia.

Key Words: ANESTHETICS, volatile—halothane, I-653, isoflurane, sevoflurane. EQUIPMENT, ANESTHETIC CIRCUIT SYSTEM—closed, low flow. SOLUBILITY—partition coefficients.

I-653 is an inhaled anesthetic with a blood/gas partition coefficient of 0.42 (1), which indicates that it can produce rapid induction of and recovery from anesthesia. Rats anesthetized with I-653 recover more rapidly than those anesthetized with sevoflurane, isoflurane, or halothane (2).

Because I-653 is expensive to use, it likely would be applied in a low-flow or closed-circuit absorption system. Six factors govern the rate at which anesthesia can be induced with a low-flow system: the flow into the system; the volume of the system; the uptake of anesthetic by the patient; the concentration of anesthetic in the delivered gases; the extent to which circuit components absorb anesthetics; and the extent to which anesthetic is degraded by soda lime. Might absorption or degradation of I-653 by circuit components hinder the development of an anesthetizing concentration? To examine this question, we studied the solubility of I-653, isoflurane, halothane, and

sevoflurane in plastics found in conventional anesthetic circuit components, and the impact of flow rate, absorption, and degradation on the increase and decrease of I-653 relative to other volatile anesthetics in a conventional anesthetic circuit.

Methods

First, we compared the solubility of I-653, sevoflurane, isoflurane, and halothane in anesthesia circuit components. In addition, we examined the washin and washout rates of these anesthetics delivered to a conventional anesthetic circuit system at various flow rates.

Solubility of Anesthetics in Circuit Components

We determined plastic/gas and rubber/gas partition coefficients of each circuit component with a modification of a method used previously (3). The density of a collection of thin pieces of each component was determined by adding a known mass of thin pieces of component to a 75-ml volumetric flask of known mass, filling the flask precisely to the 75-ml line with distilled water, and determining the combined mass.

Supported in part by the Anesthesia Research Foundation and in part by Anaquest.

Received from the Department of Anesthesia, University of California, San Francisco, CA 94143-0464. Accepted for publication February 28, 1989.

Address correspondence to Dr. Eger, Department of Anesthesia, University of California, Room S-455, San Francisco, CA 94143-0464.